

# The Regulators Are Optimizing Their Use of Data. Are You?

#### By Carol Beaumier and Bernadine Reese

Can financial institutions manage effectively in a world where issues and breaches are known to regulators before the chief compliance officer or anyone else in the organization even learns about them? Are Compliance departments — and the institutions they serve — prepared to keep pace with the regulators' efforts to develop data-driven insights, or will they find themselves continually on the defensive, struggling to react and respond to regulatory inquiries and challenges?

## **Evolution of RegTech**

It was only six years ago<sup>1</sup> that the term "SupTech"<sup>2</sup>, or supervisory technology, was introduced and started gaining prominence in the regulatory world. In reality, however, the use of technology and data science for supervisory purposes has been evolving over decades. Regulators have historically collected financial statement information from the institutions they supervise. For example, in 1981, the U.S. prudential regulators began publishing the Uniform Bank Performance Report (UBPR), which allows for the comparison of a financial institution to its peer group and offers easy identification of outliers. This information is used by U.S. regulators to help set supervisory priorities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cambridge SupTech Lab (2022), State of SupTech Report 2022, Cambridge: Cambridge Centre for Alternative Finance (CCAF), University of Cambridge. Available at www.cambridgesuptechlab.org/SOS.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Leveraging a 2018 publication of the Bank for International Settlements (*Innovative technology in financial supervision (suptech*) – the experience of early users), **SupTech** is defined as the use of innovative technology by supervisory agencies to support supervision which is grounded in data collection and data analytics.

A recent report issued by the Cambridge Centre for Alternative Finance (Cambridge Report) highlights the progress made by regulators in collecting and using data to supplement and target their supervisory agenda since the market crash of 1987, which prompted regulators to start digitizing their operations to improve transparency and risk management. The report, which is based on a survey of 134 financial authorities across 108 jurisdictions, looks at the evolution of SupTech. In addition to the market crash of 1987, it identifies four key catalysts for driving the regulators' data strategy:

There are at least two reasons for supervisors to adopt SupTech. First, the technology available today could help supervisors achieve greater efficiency and effectiveness in pursuing their goals. Second, without investing in technology, supervisors may be unable to deal with developments in the financial sector itself (such as the rise of fintech) and any possibly related expansion of their statutory mandates.

www.torontocentre.org/index.php?option=com\_content&view=article&id=83&Itemid=99programs

- The 2007-08 global financial crisis and the industry's adoption of fintech to respond to new regulatory reporting requirements and make their operations more efficient;
- The emergence of blockchain technology, APIs and cloud computing and the capabilities they
  offer;
- The formal adoption of SupTech as a term and concept; and
- The COVID-19 global pandemic, when regulators were largely forced to abandon onsite supervision and manage 100% remotely.

The regulators' path to making better use of data parallels that of the financial services industry itself, but there are new signs (e.g., the formation of the GFiN SupTech Special Unit) that the regulators may be gaining momentum.

## Examples of regulators' data strategies

Recognizing the need to use technology and data better to inform supervision, 71% of regulators globally report having SupTech initiatives and 50% indicate they already have at least one SupTech application in operation, according to findings in the Cambridge Report. To date, most use cases center around consumer protection and prudential supervision.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> State of SupTech Report 2022, Cambridge: Cambridge Centre for Alternative Finance (CCAF), University of Cambridge.

Some regulators have publicly shared their data strategies. In 2020, the UK Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) set out its intentions "to make better use of data to spot and stop harm faster." In its update in 2022, the FCA confirmed that this included a significant transformation to build intelligence and data services; develop scalable technology, platforms and tooling; and manage data. Once the FCA achieves its stated ambition of becoming a data- and intelligence-led regulator, regulated firms will be interacting with cloud-based platforms and analytics capabilities, supported by the FCA's data lake, data science tooling and decision hub.

Other regulators, such as the Hong Kong Monetary Authority (HKMA), are developing their data strategies alongside a wider strategy to encourage all banks to "go fintech." In its Fintech 2025 strategy, the HKMA recommends a fully digital approach to operations as well as the adoption of a related SupTech program with an initial focus on AML/CFT supervision — a program that is proactive and targeted, data-driven, collaborative, and people-focused. The

# Examples of current uses of data analytics by regulators:

- Identifying indicators of market abuse and insider dealing
- Credit risk assessments
- Sanctions screening testing (against a given data file)
- Identifying scams online
- Identifying and dealing with high-risk financial advertising
- Predicting the risk of misconduct for financial advisers based on factors like working experience and misconduct history

### And potential future uses:

- Identifying potential greenwashing
- Licensing
- Performing initial supervisory reviews

Monetary Authority of Singapore (MAS) also has an established SupTech program that focuses on analytics of Suspicious Transaction Reports and reviews firms' trade data by leveraging algorithms and statistics to analyze datasets. The MAS also has other SupTech initiatives in use and under development, such as identifying conduct risk indicators.

The European Central Bank (ECB) has set out its Digitalisation Blueprint with an action plan focused on developing innovative SupTech solutions through engaging with ecosystem partners, other regulators and academia. Its objective is to build out common platforms and tools for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Data strategy update 2022, Financial Conduct Authority, 24 June 2022: www.fca.org.uk/publications/corporate-documents/data-strategy-update-2022.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> AML/CFT Supervision in the Age of Digital Innovation, Hong Kong Monetary Authority, September 2020: www2.deloitte.com/cn/en/pages/risk/articles/aml-cft-supervision-in-the-age-of-digital-innovation.html..html.

SupTech (including 14 SupTech tools already implemented). The ECB reports high demand for such tools across the European banking supervisors. The Digitalisation Blueprint also focuses on providing supervisors with the "capabilities and mindset to fully leverage the potential of SupTech."

Various U.S. regulatory bodies have developed and are enhancing SupTech tools and approaches. As examples, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) uses advanced technologies to identify trade surveillance and market abuse risks. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) is pursuing a regulatory reporting strategy that would allow "ondemand" monitoring of banks versus point-in-time examinations, such as reviewing trade data prior to supervision exams, identifying potential insider trading before major equity events and detecting issues in high-frequency trading.<sup>7</sup>

And it's not just regulators in the major financial markets that are embracing SupTech. One interesting case, which has been reported by the World Bank and is noted in the Cambridge Report, involves Rwanda. The World Bank describes this as an "example of how a whole country has embraced SupTech." Rwanda has an ambitious financial inclusion agenda which led to high demand for accurate, high-frequency data to monitor financial inclusion progress. With the expansion of the financial services market in 2009-10 through the authorization of new savings and credit cooperatives and mobile network operators, the National Bank of Rwanda (NBR) was challenged to keep pace with its expanding supervisory mandate. Its solution was to partner with a UAE-headquartered technology firm to develop an electronic data warehouse system to automate and streamline the reporting processes that inform and facilitate supervision.

The warehouse went live in 2017. Implementing this approach was not without challenge since not all of the local institutions were at the early stages of their own data journeys. But the shared financial inclusion goal provided the motivation for both the NBR and industry to support this effort. The NBR's continued commitment to its SupTech agenda is clear: In 2022, the NBR hosted training for representatives from 24 countries on leveraging financial inclusion data to drive inclusive policy development.<sup>8</sup>

protiviti.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The SSM Digitalisation Blueprint: SRB Vision 2028, European Central Bank – Banking Supervision, 29 June 2023: www.bankingsupervision.europa.eu/press/speeches/date/2023/html/ssm.sp230629~1b6d3ba3d7.en.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> From data reporting to data-sharing: how far can suptech and other innovations challenge the status quo of regulatory reporting?, Bank for International Settlements (BIS), 16 December 2020: www.bis.org/fsi/publ/insights29.htm.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> "NBR-AFI Regional Training on Leveraging Financial Inclusion Data to Drive Inclusive Policy Development – Opening remarks by the Deputy Governor, National Bank of Rwanda," Alliance for Financial Inclusion, 4 October 2022: www.afi-global.org/newsroom\_speeches/nbr-afi-regional-training-on-leveraging-financial-inclusion-data-to-drive-inclusive-policy-development-opening-remarks-by-the-deputy-governor-national-bank-of-rwanda/.

### So, what is the potential of SupTech?

For both the regulators and the financial services industry as a whole, the potential benefits of SupTech include increased efficiency and effectiveness of the supervisory process. With that promise comes a shift away from outdated, one-size-fits-all templates and manual procedures in favor of data push and data pull approaches that make use of structured and unstructured data. These approaches not only strengthen supervision, but also reduce its cost and burden. For financial institution customers, SupTech also holds the promise of better customer protection.

The Cambridge Report highlights 13 thematic areas of focus (plus a catch-all category) for SupTech initiatives, ranked in order of expected impact:<sup>9</sup>

59%
58%
46%
39%
37%
35%
31%
24%
20%
20%
17%
14%
8%
4%

# Customer protection can be enhanced by SupTech in the following ways:

- Social media and online analysis and monitoring for high-risk indictors (e.g., high-risk financial promotions, scams)
- Dark web monitoring for scams, fraud and other financial crime
- Use of web scraping to identify high-risk financial products or indicators of poor product design and increased risk of poor customer outcomes

The results are not surprising given that regulatory focus remains intense in the highest-rated areas and each of these topics currently has a significant amount of regulatory reporting and other associated documentation for review by supervisors. Other areas, such as financial inclusion monitoring and digital assets, may need a high degree of SupTech to enable effective supervision since traditional documentation or reports may not be easily available.

<sup>9</sup> State of SupTech Report 2022, Cambridge: Cambridge Centre for Alternative Finance (CCAF), University of Cambridge.

### Implications for financial institutions

Financial institutions will, on balance, welcome the greater use of SupTech for market monitoring, supervision and regulatory risk analysis, as well as to enable efficient regulation. Once implemented, SupTech will allow regulators to increase their supervisory focus on key and emerging risks, act proactively, and introduce required regulatory changes in a timely manner. It should also allow regulators to manage the cost of regulation and react quickly to changes in the market. Quicker risk identification could help reduce the costs of remediation and look-back reviews.

There may also be business implications in the form of greater market monitoring. For example, in retail consumer markets, regulators may identify problematic pricing policies, continued sales of underperforming funds or high balances in savings accounts with very low interest rates. Financial institutions will be challenged to justify, or change, their strategic and business decisions.

In addition, with the increased use of SupTech by the regulators, financial institutions can expect an increase in regulatory demand for data. Regulators will be ramping up both regular and ad hoc data requests and increasing focus on the timeliness, completeness and accuracy of submissions. Thus, financial institutions will need to ensure that processes and controls over the compilation, review and submission of regulatory reports are streamlined, and that quality data is readily available and accessible. "This can be particularly challenging for multinational financial institutions, as well as those with multiple regulators and multiple legal entities that need to submit reports," comments Fiona King, Citibank Europe Plc, UK Branch Head for Citi.

Of course, this trove of data and analytics will also be invaluable to the financial institution's compliance team in areas such as risk identification and assessment, monitoring and testing, and reporting. We expect SupTech to drive greater adoption of RegTech solutions, including, for example, continuous or real-time monitoring and the use of artificial intelligence for regulatory risk mapping to machine-readable rulebooks. Greater automation will be both available from vendors and required to enable rapid responses to regulator queries and requests for information. Just as important, financial institutions will need to consider the analytics they need to identify any risks or trends in advance of the regulatory submission and review.

We also expect this increased focus on SupTech and RegTech to drive more internal and external data analytics by financial institutions, for business as well as compliance reasons. For example, analytics might be used to provide insights into areas where controls are failing or customer responses are delayed, or to highlight other indicators of culture or conduct risks.

protiviti.com Compliance Insights • 6

### The challenge for Compliance teams

The success or failure of Compliance teams in guiding the institution in a data-led supervisory environment will depend, first and foremost, on the quality and availability of the institution's own data. Compliance, therefore, should be a vocal advocate for an organizational data strategy that:

- Operates under a consistent data governance framework.
- Breaks down silos between structured and unstructured data.
- Invests in data analytics and the resources (data engineers, data scientists, visualization specialists and artificial intelligence/machine learning experts) necessary to optimize use of data.
- Shares data and educates the organization on the appropriate use of data.

Where an institution's data strategy falls short of meeting these goals, Compliance should forewarn management and the board of directors of potential regulatory challenges that may result from the institution not being able to provide complete and timely information in response to a regulator's request.

Even in institutions with mature data management practices, it's important to remember that SupTech will not always get it right and the data alone may not explain the business context adequately. Compliance teams will play a critical role in understanding the data provided to regulators and how it will be interpreted. Ideally, this means Compliance analyzes this data on a continual basis and explains its implications to management, who then decides on any needed course corrections. Minimally, it means Compliance should analyze the data contemporaneously with it being provided to the regulators and prepare management with the questions that might be expected.

Compliance teams that maintain strong relationships with regulators should be able to provide background information on the firm's business model, customer base and risk management practices, and be ready to explain and address anomalies. This means that Compliance teams will need their own data experts and will need to upskill their team members to think beyond technical compliance and consider the big picture.

If you can't explain it simply, you don't understand it well enough.

Albert Einstein

### In closing

Will the continued evolution of SupTech mean that, someday, all financial institution supervision is performed by bots? As appealing as that may sound to some financial institutions, we don't think that will be the case. We are still firm believers — as we think the regulators are — in the importance of human judgment.

What we do envision is a far more dynamic supervisory environment in which regulators will be able to respond more quickly to market and individual institution developments. Their response will be based on the availability and their interpretation of more voluminous and timely data than they have been able to collect in the past. Financial institutions that are unable to meet these regulatory data challenges will find themselves at a significant disadvantage.

#### About the authors

Carol Beaumier is a senior managing director in Protiviti's Risk and Compliance practice and leader of the firm's APAC Financial Services practice. Based in Washington, D.C., she has more than 30 years of experience in a wide range of regulatory issues across multiple industries. Before joining Protiviti, Beaumier was a partner in Arthur Andersen's Regulatory Risk Services practice and a managing director and founding partner of The Secura Group, where she headed the Risk Management practice. Before consulting, Beaumier spent 11 years with the U.S. Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), where she was an examiner with a focus on multinational and international banks. She also served as executive assistant to the comptroller, as a member of the OCC's senior management team and as liaison for the comptroller inside and outside of the agency. Beaumier is a frequent author and speaker on regulatory and other risk issues.

Bernadine Reese is a managing director in Protiviti's Risk and Compliance practice. Based in London, Reese joined Protiviti in 2007 from KPMG's Regulatory Services practice. Reese has more than 30 years' experience working with a variety of financial services clients to enhance their business performance by successfully implementing risk, compliance and governance change and optimizing their risk and compliance arrangements. She is a Certified Climate Risk Professional.

### About Protiviti's Compliance Risk Management Practice

There's a better way to manage the burden of regulatory compliance. Imagine if functions were aligned to business objectives, processes were optimized, and procedures were automated and enabled by data and technology. Regulatory requirements would be met with efficiency. Controls become predictive instead of reactive. Employees derive more value from their roles. The business can take comfort that their reputation is protected, allowing for greater focus on growth and innovation.

Protiviti helps organizations integrate compliance into agile risk management teams, leverage analytics for forward-looking, predictive controls, apply regulatory compliance expertise and utilize automated workflow tools for more efficient remediation of compliance enforcement actions or issues, translate customer and compliance needs into design requirements for new products or services, and establish routines for monitoring regulatory compliance performance.

Protiviti (www.protiviti.com) is a global consulting firm that delivers deep expertise, objective insights, a tailored approach and unparalleled collaboration to help leaders confidently face the future. Protiviti and our independent and locally owned Member Firms provide clients with consulting and managed solutions in finance, technology, operations, data, analytics, digital, legal, HR, governance, risk and internal audit through our network of more than 85 offices in over 25 countries.

 $Named to the 2023 \textit{Fortune} 100 \textit{Best} Companies to Work For \verb§§ list, Protiviti has served more than 80 percent of \textit{Fortune} 100 and nearly 80 percent of \textit{Fortune} 500 companies. The firm also works with smaller, growing companies, including those looking to go public, as well as with government agencies. Protiviti is a wholly owned subsidiary of Robert Half (NYSE: RHI). Founded in 1948, Robert Half is a member of the <math>S\&P500$  index.

